



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/347,637	07/06/1999	LOREN SHIH	SNS-006CP1-(7268/10)	4126

21323 7590 11/29/2001

TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP
HIGH STREET TOWER
125 HIGH STREET
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER

SANTIAGO, ENRIQUE L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2671

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

T.R

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/347,637

Applicant(s)

SHIH

Examiner
Enrique L. Santiago

Art Unit
2671



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 6, 1999

2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.

3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.

7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirements.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.

12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:

1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____

18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____

19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

20) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 1-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tarr US patent no. 6,191,796.

-Regarding claims 1, 10, 19 and 27, Tarr teaches a method for modifying a virtual object in a haptic virtual environment, comprising: determining a virtual tool comprising a plurality of discrete points for use by the user in the haptic virtual environment (see fig. 1D, column 5, lines 36-46, column 42, lines 30-31); selecting a modification mode for the virtual tool (see figs. 3A and 4, column 2, lines 64-67, column 3, lines 5-7, column 7, lines 38-42, column 8, lines 10-21); sensing a location of a user in real space (see fig. 1A, the abstract, column 2, lines 2-24, column 4, lines 45-47, column 6, lines 14-16, column 36, line 25); determining locations of the plurality of discrete points of the virtual tool relative to a location of the virtual object (see the abstract, column 15, lines 32-64, column 5, lines 36-46, column 15, lines 20-27); calculating an interaction force between the virtual tool and the virtual object based on the locations of the plurality of discrete points of the virtual tool and the location of the virtual object (see the abstract, column 2, lines 2-24, column 4,

Art Unit: 2671

line 11-column 5, line 9, column 30, line 62-column 31, line 11); producing a modified virtual object by modifying the virtual object based on the modification mode, the locations of the plurality of discrete points of the virtual tool, and the location of the virtual object; and outputting the modified virtual object (see figs. 1B, 1C, 3-8, the abstract, column 7, lines 20-43, column 9, line 56-column 10, line 44, column 36, lines 19-54).

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Tarr further teaches determining a virtual surface for the virtual object (see the abstract, column 2, lines 51-53, column 3, lines 8-10); and determining a position and an orientation of the virtual tool by determining the locations of the plurality of discrete points relative to the virtual surface of the virtual object (see column 5, lines 35-46, see column 8, lines 51-66, column 9, lines 5-25, 41-45).

-Regarding claims 3 and 12, Tarr further teaches determining a virtual isosurface for the virtual object (see the abstract, column 2, lines 51-53, column 3, lines 8-10, column 32, lines 54-67, column 33, lines 45-51).

-Regarding claims 4 and 13, Tarr further teaches a virtual object that is a volumetric representation (see column 16, lines 51-65).

-Regarding claims 5 and 14, Tarr further teaches a volumetric representation comprising voxels including density values (see column 16, lines 51-65, column 22, lines 36-43, column 36, lines 59-63).

-Regarding claims 6 and 15, Tarr further teaches selecting a modification mode for the virtual tool (see column 8, lines 10-21) comprising selecting one of a material removal (see column 8, lines

Art Unit: 2671

10-21, column 19, lines 43-45, column 22, line 57-column 23, line 6), a material addition (see column 8, lines 10-21, column 22, line 36-46), and a material modification mode (see column 8, lines 10-38).

-Regarding claims 7, 16, 25 and 33, Tarr teaches determining at least one virtual constraint for the movement of the virtual tool (see column 7, lines 35-43, column 8, lines 39-43, column 17, line 56-column 18, line 5).

-Regarding claims 8, 17, 20 and 28, Tarr further teaches determining at least one virtual constraint for the movement of the virtual tool, determining at least one of a point, curve and surface constraint for the movement of the virtual tool (see fig. 7, 8 and 10, column 17, line 56-column 18, line 5).

-Regarding claims 9 and 18, Tarr teaches exporting the modified virtual object (see figs. 1A, 1C, 3C, 18B, column 4, lines 11-33, column 6, lines 46-60, column 8, lines 51-58, column 9, lines 53-57).

-Regarding claims 21 and 29, Tarr further teaches moving the position of the virtual tool to coincide with the haptic interface location (see fig. 7, 8 and 10, column 17, line 56-column 18, line 17).

-Regarding claims 22 and 30, Tarr further teaches modifying the virtual object based on the position of the virtual tool (see the abstract, column 2, lines 3-34, column 8, lines 51-66, column 10, lines 15-43, column 12, lines 3-16).

Art Unit: 2671

-Regarding claims 23 and 31, Tarr further teaches calculating an interaction force among the constraint geometry, the virtual object, and the virtual tool in response to the step of determining the position of the virtual tool (see column 10, lines 15-43, column 12, lines 3-16).

-Regarding claims 24 and 32, Tarr further teaches selecting a modification mode for the virtual tool (see figs. 3A and 4, column 2, lines 64-67, column 3, lines 5-7, column 7, lines 38-42, column 8, lines 10-21), and modifying the virtual object in response to the modification mode and the position of the virtual tool (see the abstract, column 2, lines 3-34, column 8, lines 51-66, column 10, lines 15-43, column 12, lines 3-16).

-Regarding claims 26 and 34, Tarr further teaches constraining the rotation of the virtual tool (see column 7, lines 35-43, column 8, lines 39-43, column 17, line 56-column 18, line 5).

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patent no. 6,211,848, US patent no. 6,084,587.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Enrique L. Santiago whose telephone number is (703) 306-5908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 A.M. to 3:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2671

or faxed to:


(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA,
Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding
should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703)
306-0377.

Enrique L. Santiago

November 18, 2001


MARK ZIMMERMAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600